TRANSITIONS-BASED STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT:

Emergent protocols and techniques for prioritizing site specific wetland services during offsetting procedures.

OAIA Ontario Association for Impact Assessment







Presented by Becca Spence

Principal Researcher 2019 Recipient of OAIA Graduate Student Award & Bursary Ecological Restoration Technician Diploma B.Sc.Hons. Ecological Restoration Candidate M.A. Sustainability Studies Trent University Supervisor: Dr. Tom Whillans

Presentation Outline



Introduction to Wetlands and Wetland Offsetting

- The Value of Wetlands in Southern Ontario
- Threats to Wetlands in Southern Ontario
- Wetland Offsetting
- Research Purpose Statement
- Operational Definitions

Strategic Wetland Offset Site Selection

- Current Priorities
- Strategic Wetland Offset Site Selection Score Card
- Benefits and Risks
- Policy and Future Directions





The Value of Wetlands in Southern Ontario

What is a wetland?

- Wetlands are both **key natural heritage features** and **hydrologically sensitive features** (ORMCP, Sec. 22 & 26)
- A wetland is: "... land such as a **swamp, marsh, bog or fen** (not including land that is being used for agricultural purposes and no longer exhibits wetland characteristics) that,

(a) is seasonally or permanently covered by shallow water or has the water table close
to or at the surface;

(b) has hydric soils and vegetation dominated by hydrophytic or water-tolerant plants; and

(c) has been further identified by, the Ministry of Natural Resources or by any other person, according to evaluation procedures established by the Ministry of Natural Resources, as amended from time to time," (ORMCP, 2017).



The Value of Wetlands in Southern Ontario

Wetland Value:

- Wetlands are **ecologically rare and sensitive ecosystems** with values that can translate into **important societal values**.
- They provide a wide variety of services, including the maintenance of natural processes and human utility values. These include mitigation of climate change impacts on air and water quality and quantity, flood mitigation through water run-off easement, erosion prevention, nutrient and toxic chemical removal, groundwater recharges, buffering against future drought risks, Species at Risk habitat, plant and animal biodiversity, pollination services, food and medicinal resources, recreational, educational and cultural opportunities.



(ORMCP, 2017; OMNRF, 2016)



The Value of Wetlands in Southern Ontario

Wetlands as "Green" Infrastructure:

- Wetlands in Ontario alone produce an **economic benefit of \$14 billion per year.**
- These benefits are demonstrated **through key ecosystem services**, such as flood mitigation, water filtration and storage, biodiversity habitat, and recreational value.
- These benefits are 13-35x greater than the costs of protection or restoration, dependent on location.
- Wetlands left intact on landscape can reduce flood damage costs by 38%.



(OMNRF, 2016).

Threats to Wetlands in Southern Ontario

- 70-90% loss in Southern Ontario since western settlement, largely due to agriculture and urban development
- Developmental pressures on and near wetlands will continue into the future due to population increases and changes in government structures and regulations.
- This development is certain to be in the forms of roads and other infrastructure, industries, residences and natural resource extraction sites.
- The Province of Ontario does not currently have any set or formal policies in place to regulate the replacement of wetlands in the instance that non provincially significant wetlands and non coastal wetlands are developed on.

Wetland Loss in Canada Remaining Lost Lost or degraded Conservative estimate; applies to large wetlands (>10 ha) or **Pacific estuaries** 70% LOST **Atlantic Canada** coastal salt marshes 65% LOS **Prairie potholes** >50% LOST **Okanagan and Lower** Similkameen valleys, BC 84% LOST **Southern Ontario** 72% LOST²

(OMNRF, 2016)

UNIVERS



Wetland Offsetting

- <u>Definition</u>: The purposeful rehabilitation or creation of wetlands to compensate for the negative impacts of development (Poulton & Bell, 2017). It is the fourth step in a mitigation hierarchy: (1) Avoid; (2) Minimize; (3) Mitigate; (4) Compensate (MNRF, 2016).
- <u>Strengths:</u> A **net gain in wetland area and function**, "with respect to species composition, habitat structure, ecosystem functions and people's use and cultural values associated with biodiversity," (BBOP, 2016); **Wetland offsetting** and **strong impact assessment tools in offsetting policy** are useful because they **allow for development** by meeting the demands of energy, transport, water, housing and food, but also allow for the growth and prosperity of the environment and communities, in appropriate cases.
- <u>Weaknesses</u>: Inherent risks and uncertainties in wetland restoration; Without strong, consistent policy and government oversight, there may be the risk of Proponents taking advantage of system and "throwing money" at their problems; According to the *Provincial Policy Statement*, offsetting will not apply to infrastructure development and drainage works.
- <u>Research Gaps</u>: Methodologies for wetland offset site selection & prioritization; A lack of standards and tools for assessing the impacts of wetland site gains and losses.



Research Purpose Statement

The purpose of this study is to identify and describe how landscape managers are selecting for and prioritizing the offsetting of wetland services for specific sites based on their potential contribution to integrated regional needs, while acknowledging and minimizing the inherent uncertainties associated with wetland offsetting.



Priorities in Wetland Offset Site Selection



Strategic Wetland Offset Site Selection Score Card: (SWOSSS Card)



assessment in S. Ontario and direct wetland offset restoration efforts towards sites that have the highest likelihood of long-term success and best overall contribution to integrated regional needs.



SWOSSS Card: CLOCA Watershed Case Study



- *Municipality:* Town of Whitby
- C.A.: Central Lake Ontario
- Environmental Consultant: NES Inc.

*Regulated OMNRF Wetlands highlighted

Two Impact Wetland Sites:

- Both sites are within a secondary planning area
- NE site is a *Phragmites* marsh
- SW site is a shrub marsh
- It is currently unknown if they will stay or be compensated for
- <u>Required:</u> An impact assessment tool to strategically select a possible wetland offset site for both wetlands that has a high likelihood of success and meets the integrated needs of the region.

SWOSSS Card: CLOCA Watershed Case Study





*CLOCA Natural Heritage System highlighted

Total SWOSSS Card score of $71/100 \rightarrow$ Ideal Wetland Offset Site

Selected Wetland Offset Site: -Isolated features put into one **compact site** near existing valleyland NHS = **NHS expansion** -Municipal ownership -On-site location -Suitable site characteristics -Creates a more ecologicallyadvanced ecosystem that will offer significant ecosystem services to the municipality, environment and community. -Inclusion in NHS ensures longterm conservation success

Benefits of Strategic Wetland Offset Site Selection

- Prioritizes potential wetland offset site restoration based on their likelihood of success and overall contribution to regional needs.
- Reduction in success uncertainties.
- Net gain of wetlands in area and function— Leads to improvement of water quality and quantity, protection of shoreline properties (from erosion), recreational opportunities, nutrient filtering from intensive farming, pollutant filtration, climate change mitigation, and recreational opportunities.
- **Reduced costs** to municipalities, community tax payers, and developers.
- Check list is **easy to use and understand** for majority of parties involved.







Policy and Future Directions

- **Consistency in wetland terminology** across legislation and policies.
- Changes to Provincial Policy Statement: Coastal, Provincially Significant, and Ecologically Rare (fens and bogs) should never be developed on.
- Provincial creation of a **Technical Guidance Manual** on wetland offsetting.
 - Training on Manual provided by the Province
- Policy implemented locally by Conservation Authorities and Municipalities who understand local landscapes.
- Should not be entrenched into legislation to avoid opinion of "right" to develop.
- Feature securement strategy: The creation of a provincial-level land acquisition fund with continuous annual funding that organizations can draw on for wetland offset restoration projects.
- Tools to make the policy operational.





References

Business and Biodiversity Offsets Programme (BBOP). (2012). Resource Paper: No Net Loss and Loss-Gain Calculations in Biodiversity Offsets (pp. 1–27). Washington, D.C.: Forest Trends. https://doi.org/ISBN 978-1-932928-49-5 (pdf).

Central Lake Ontario Conservation Authority. (2019, Sept. 18). Personal interview.

Central Lake Ontario Conservation Authority. (2019, Oct. 10). Focus Group.

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). (2016), Wetlands Restoration Definitions and Distinctions. Retrieved online: https://www.epa.gov/wetlands/wetlands-restoration-definitions-and-distinctions

GreenAnalyticsCorp. (2017). Valuing Natural Capital in the Lake Simcoe watershed, Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority, FINAL REPORT.

Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan (ORMCP). (2017). Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan Technical Paper Series: 1 Identification of Key Natural Heritage Features.

Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry [Aurora District]. (2019, Sept. 20). Phone interview.

Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry [OMNRF]. (2017). A Wetland Conservation Strategy for Ontario 2017-2030. Queens Printer for Ontario: Toronto.

Ontario Nature. (2019, Sept. 27). Phone interview.

Halton Region Conservation Authority. (2019, Sept. 25). Phone interview.

Hounsell, S. (2019, Sept. 19). Phone interview.

Lake Simcoe Region Conservation Authority. (2019, Sept. 16). Phone interview.

Nature Conservancy of Canada. (2019, Oct. 3). Phone Interview.

Petz, K., Minna, E.L., Werners, S.E. & Leemans, R. (2012). Managing the current and future supply of ecosystem services in the Hungarian and Romanian Tisza River Basin. Regional Environmental Change, 12(4), 689-700. Poulton, D. (2019, Sept. 5). Phone interview.

Stelk, M.J. & Christie, J. (2014). Ecosystem Service Valuation for Wetland Restoration: What It Is, How To Do It, and Best Practice Recommendations. Association of State Wetland Managers, Windham, Maine.

Stephens, B. (2019, Sept. 4). Personal interview.

TEEB (2010). The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity: Mainstreaming the Economics of Nature: A Synthesis of the Approach, Conclusions and Recommendations of TEEB.

