

Indigenous Engagement and Cultural Heritage Conservation

Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport Programs and Services Branch Joseph Muller, Heritage Planner, RPP/MCIP

Ontario Association of Impact Assessors
October 2017



MTCS Mandate

- Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS) oversees
 Cultural Heritage Resources (under the Ontario Heritage Act)
- Land-use/Infrastructure planning addresses CHRs via:
 - Environmental Assessment Act
 - Planning Act and Provincial Policy Statement 2014
 - Aggregate Resources, REA, CEAA, NEB, OEB, FBCS
- MTCS staff provide policy and technical advice on CHRs to:
 - Provincial ministries and agencies
 - Prescribed public bodies (Crown corporations, RBG etc.)
 - Municipalities
 - Proponents and their consultants
 - First Nations and Métis
 - The public



ABC's of Cultural Heritage Resources

- Archaeological resources
 - Terrestrial and marine sites, areas of archaeological potential
 - Usually the leading edge of Indigenous consultation/engagement
- Built heritage resources
 - buildings, bridges, monuments and other structures
- Cultural heritage landscapes
 - an area or a grouping of individual but related elements such as structures, spaces, sites, cultural and natural landforms*



Mt. McKay, Fort William First Nation



Indicators of Heritage Value

Cultural Heritage Value or Interest*

- Design or Physical value
 - Rarity, craft or technical merit
- Historical or Associative value
 - Event, person, community
- Contextual Value
 - Place, landmark, linkages







Context

Aboriginal Title and Land Claims

- Royal Proclamation of 1763
- Treaties from before this to modern day
- Section 35 of the Constitution Act 1982
 - Supreme Court rulings like Sparrow, Marshall, Taku River, Mikesew Cree, Haida, Musqueam, etc.
- United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples
- Free, Prior and Informed Consent
- Treaty 9 and Oral Tradition (Delgamuukw v. The Queen)



Indigenous Role in Land Use Planning

- Indigenous people are First Nations, Metis, and Inuit under Section 35 of the Constitution Act
- Treaty-holders are not stakeholders
- We are all treaty-holders
- Who is the Crown, and how does it work?
- Engagement Protocol



Planning Act and Provincial Policy Statement 2014

2.6 Cultural Heritage and Archaeology

- 2.6.4 Planning authorities should consider and promote archaeological management plans and cultural plans in conserving cultural heritage and archaeological resources
- 2.6.5 Planning authorities shall consider the interests of Aboriginal communities in conserving cultural heritage and archaeological resources.
- Updates to definition of cultural heritage landscapes
- 1.2.2 Planning Authorities are encouraged to coordinate planning matters with Aboriginal communities where appropriate
- 2.6.5 Planning authorities shall consider the interests of Aboriginal communities in conserving cultural heritage and archaeological resources.
- 4.3 This Provincial Policy Statement shall be implemented in a manner that is consistent with the recognition and affirmation of existing Aboriginal and treaty rights in Section 35 of the Constitution Act 1982



Key Partners in Engagement

- Indigenous groups: Elders and council members, staff, and archaeological monitors engage on behalf of their community
- Approval Authorities: Review applications for due diligence to legislated requirements, including engagement
- Proponent: Follows legislated requirements and direction from Approval Authorities
- Qualified Consultants: Advise proponents on legislated requirements, and may engage on their behalf
- MTCS Staff: review technical heritage studies to ensure compliance with licences and approval processes



Engagement – MTCS Requirements

- Out-of-province descendant communities have an interest in their cultural heritage in Ontario.
- Guidance documents:
 - Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists 2011
 - Technical Bulletin: <u>Engaging Aboriginal Communities in Archaeology</u> 2011
 - <u>Standards and Guidelines for the Conservation of Provincial Heritage</u> <u>Properties</u> 2010
 - Technical Bulletin: <u>MTCS Heritage Identification and Evaluation Process</u> 2014



Engagement – MTCS Requirements

- Strongly encourage engagement during:
 - Project planning
 - Cultural Heritage Evaluation Reports & Heritage Impact Assessments
 - Archaeological Stage 1 –background research to determine archaeological sites/potential in the project area
 - Archaeological Stage 2 physical assessment of a property, and evaluation whether Stage 3 assessment is warranted
- Indigenous Communities <u>must</u> be engaged during Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment
 - Determining the cultural heritage value or interest of a site
 - Formulating strategies for Stage 4 mitigation by:
 - Conservation
 - Excavation



Engagement – MTCS Requirements

Beginning and sustaining engagement

- With the community, determine level of engagement desired
- Long lead times and flexible schedules aid in meaningful engagement
- Maintain communication through the project for input on updates and results, including the community in the project and recommendations
 - Agreed upon process

Common Engagement Strategies

- Archaeological "monitors" or "liaisons" on site
- Flexible fieldwork strategies and work flow to allow for expanded or alternative methods to identify or address areas of interest
- Reporting back to the community



Documenting Engagement – MTCS approach

Archaeologists must document engagement in their reports

- Who was engaged and why
- Engagement procedures
- When and where engagement took place
- Strategies to incorporate community input into fieldwork, detail design
- Process for reporting results to the community

Archaeology Review Officer reviews this documentation for compliance with standards and appropriate engagement



Case studies

Red Hill Valley Parkway, Hamilton

- City negotiated with Six Nations Council, who delegated to the Haudenosaunee
- Monitors on site reporting to the traditional and elected Councils
- Joint Red Hill Valley Stewardship Board was formed and continues

Olmstead Site, Hamilton

- Large Iroquois site located in a draft plan of subdivision
- Conserved in place through negotiations with Six Nations elected Council

Teston Road, Vaughn

- Ossuary site discovered during construction of an improved intersection
- · First Nations contributed to road realignment decision, ceremonial reinterment



Lessons Learned and Good Advice

- Long-term relationships
- Engage early and often
- Set realistic expectations
- Use community's preferred terms identifying itself
- Personal engagement preferred: in person meetings, community visits, project liaisons.
- Share your findings, and ask: "did we get it right?"



Archaeological Management Plans

- Inventory and map significant archaeological resources
- Policy and procedures for assessment and protection of known or potential sites
- Define policy and protocol to engage and build positive relationships with Indigenous communities
 - Key point in Truth and Reconciliation Commission report implementation recommendations
- Provide a forum for Indigenous engagement



City of Hamilton AMP



Links for further information and assistance

Chiefs of Ontario

http://chiefs-of-ontario.org/

Indigenous and Northern Affairs Canada, First Nation Profiles and Interactive map

http://fnp-ppn.aandc-aadnc.gc.ca/fnp/Main/index.aspx?lang=eng

Ontario information on treaties

www.ontario.ca/page/treaties

Aboriginal and Treaty Rights Information System (ATRIS)

http://sidait-atris.aadnc-aandc.gc.ca/atris_online/home-accueil.aspx

Truth and Reconciliation Summary

http://www.trc.ca/websites/trcinstitution/index.php?p=890



MTCS Archaeology and Heritage Programs

Archaeology Program Unit

Jim Sherratt, Manager
Katie Bryant, Team Lead
Crystal Forrest, Review Coordinator
Rob von Bitter, Data Coordinator
Meagan Brookes, Licensing Officer
Heather Kerr, Licensing Coordinator
Sarah Gletnak, Administrative
Assistant

Review Officers:

Meagan Brooks, Paige Campbell, John Dunlop, Ian Hember, Wai Hadlari, Malcolm Horne, Jessica Marr, Shari Prowse, Andrea Williams

Questions and comments:

416 212 8886 archaeology@ontario.ca

Heritage Program Unit

James Hamilton, Manager
Karla Barboza, Team Lead
Debb Hossack, Heritage Advisor
Rosi Zirger, Heritage Advisor
Leela Gurpersaud, Administrative
Assistant

Heritage Planners:

Jeff Elkow, Daniel DeMoissac, Laura Hatcher, Brooke Herczeg, Dan Minkin, Joseph Muller

Questions and comments:

416 212 5613